Season	Internal ID	Grower City	Grower County	Grower State	Latitude
2019	327160	Riley	Riley	KS	
2019	321824	Garner	Hancock	IA	
2019	326784	Fairgrove	Tuscola	MI	
2019	326787	Unionville	Tuscola	MI	
2019	333169	Delmar	Clinton	IA	
2019	326799	Mackinaw	Tazewell	IL	40.41
2019	322115	Lenox	Cook	GA	
2019	325024	Lubbock	Lubbock	TX	32.4242
2019	321428	Fairbury	Livingston	IL	40.547
2019	323533	Gardner	Grundy	IL	41.1564
2019	318687	Rockville	Parke	IN	
2019	326817	Hillsboro	Montgomery	IL	
2019	327911	Curlew	Palo Alto	IA	
2019	328788	Traer	Tama	IA	42.18
2019	328785	Independence	Buchanan	IA	42.32
2019	321831	Guthrie Center	Guthrie	IA	
2019	321636	Centerpoint	Clay	IN	
2019	328794	Turbotville	Northumberland	PA	41.05408
2019	322098	Galva	Henry	IL	

Longitude	Claim Type	Total Field Acres	Acres Effected	Crop	Primary Product
	Off-Target	130	60	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	8	30	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	30	9	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	37	9	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	67	67	Soybeans	Engenia
-89.30	Off-Target	75	75	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	48	10	Tobacco	Engenia
-102.2254	Off-Target	1,830	1060	Cotton	Unknown Auxin
-88.694	Off-Target	160	160	Soybeans	Engenia
-88.3162	Off-Target	60	60	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	25	25	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	20	1	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	78	65	Soybeans	Engenia
-92.35	Off-Target	82	82	Soybeans	Engenia
-92.75	Off-Target	80	20	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	200	5	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	50	10	Soybeans	Engenia
76.38515	Off-Target	124	80	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	160	5	Soybeans, DT	Engenia

Leaf Cupping	Growing Point Inhibition	Stunting	Node Stacking	Other- explain in box below (see visual symptoms)
Yes	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No

Visual Symtoms	Secondary Visual Symtoms	Distance from Target	Buffer Zone
Leaf cupping,		2640	0
Stunting,Other,	very little cupping- more plant stunting because of extreme weather (wet) and compaction,	1320	
Leaf cupping,		900	110
Leaf cupping,		900	110
Leaf cupping,		750	28
Leaf cupping,Other,	New leaves appeared normal,	500	
Leaf cupping,Other,	Leaf cupping and leaf tip needle pointed in mid to upper 1/3 of leaves on plant,	170	
Leaf cupping,Other,Node Stacking,	Leaf strapping,	150	120
Leaf cupping,Other,	slightly more cupping on north side,	150	125
Leaf cupping,Node Stacking,Stunting,Other,	Very uniform symptoms of leaf cupping,	150	110
Leaf cupping,		120	120
Leaf cupping,		120	120
Leaf cupping,Other,	Very little leaf cupping,	100	100
Leaf cupping,		100	100
Leaf cupping,Other,	light cupping,	100	100
Leaf cupping,		100	0
Leaf cupping,		90	90
Leaf cupping,		75	75
Leaf cupping,		75	

Wind Speed	Wind Direction	Wind Affected Field	Primary Environmental Factor	Secondary Environmental Factor
4	E	No	N/A	
1.9	SE	Yes	Temparature Inversion	25% Inversion
10	SW			
6-7	SW	Yes		
8-9	N	Yes	N/A	Wind direction reported N-NW. Planting date not provided. Estimated planting date of 5/15/19.
8	w	No	Other	Normal
5	S	No		
7-10	S	Yes		
10	S		Other	Normal
5	SE	No	Other	None
5	E	No	Other	
8	S	No	N/A	This is to document a few trees that were affected by drift of Engenia.
1.5	N	No	Temparature Inversion	
7	SE	Yes	Other	Wind
7	SE	Yes	Other	Wind
5	SW	No	Unusual Rainfall	
6	SE	No		
5	W	No	Temparature Inversion	
3-5	SE	Yes	N/A	none

Record Keeping Form	Trainning Certificate	Purchase Records	Applicator Type	Wind Speed Too High	Wind Blowing Towards Crop
Yes	Yes	Yes	Retailer Applied	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
Yes	Yes			No	Yes
No	No	Yes		No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Grower Applied	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
				No	Yes
				No	Yes
				No	No
Yes	Yes	No		No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Retailer Applied	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Retailer Applied	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	Yes
Yes		Yes	Grower Applied	No	No
				No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Grower Applied	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Grower Applied	No	No

Incorrect Nozzle	Contamination (Tank, System)	Application During Inversion	Unlabeled Tank-mix	Unable To determine
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	Yes	No	No
No	No	No	No	No

Other (additional description)	Suspected Cause
No	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,
Yes	Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Incorrect nozzle,Other,
No	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,
Yes	Unable to determine based on information provided,Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
Yes	Other,
Yes	Unable to determine based on information provided,Other,
Yes	Other,
No	Unable to determine based on information provided,
Yes	Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
No	Unable to determine based on information provided,
No	Unable to determine based on information provided,
No	Application during inversion,
Yes	Other,

Secondary Suspected Cause	Sprayer Pressure
	36
Inversion? - probably not (form was truncated by scanner),	45
Wind gust	60
	36
	20
Several corn and soybean fields had Dicamba applied in this area.,	55
Could be other auxin herbicides involved.	25
Affected cotton is dicamba tolerant,	
Dicamba applied on 3 sides by 3 different growers. Dicamba applied bordering north and also southeast of field. field directly west with grass lane separating. Entire field cupped,	30
Applicator information was for the applicator of Engenia on adjacent field.  Dealer information is the dealer who sold grower the hot mix load of Liberty for affected field.  Product information grower sprayed his field with CPS(Nutrien) hot mix.  Return visit on 8/5/19	43
Ceres applied Dicamba to a field north of the affected field on Thursday the 11th. Beans showed cupping on the 13th. Due to short period of time I determined that the neighboring corn had been sprayed with Diflexx by CoAlliance Coop 12 days earlier and the wind was blowing toward the field in question. This and the stage of cupping led me to determine that the Diflexx application was the cause of the cupped soybean field, not the Engenia,	50
	50
I noticed very little cupping of soybeans. Grower is not a Dicamba fan and I think he is trying to make a statement.,	40
	41
	30
	50
	45
Appears to be varietal changes within the bean genetics,	40

Sprayer Speed	Carrier Volume	Boom Height	Boom Width	Primary Nozzle Type	Secondary Nozzle Type	Nozzle Spacing
8	15	24		TTI110061		20
14	15	30		TTI11005		15
	15	24		TTI11004		15
	10			Other	XR Teejet 11008 VS	
	15	20		TDXL11003-D (max psi 50)		15
10	15	24		TDXL11003-D (max psi 50)		15
	15	24		TTI11004		18
8	15	24				15
				TTI11004		
12	15	24		UR110-05		15
11	15	20		TTI11005		20
12	20			TTI11005		15
7	15	20		TTI11004		20
8	15	24		TTI110061		20
12	15	24		TTI11004		15
8	20	20		TTI11005		20
11	15	24		UR110-06		15

2019	326493	Fairbury	Livingston	IL	40.73
2019	331086	Chaffee	Scott	МО	37.18
2019	325611	Ransom	LA Salle	IL	
2019	326491	Fairbury	Livingston	IL	40.73
2019	329281	Roseboro	Sampson	NC	
2019	333265	Lisbon	Linn	IA	41.98
2019	324894	Normal	Mc Lean	IL	40.5380
2019	319188	Lake Odessa	Ionia	MI	
2019	322171	Gresham	York	NE NE	41.092266
2019	324383	Spotsylvania	Spotsylvania	VA	38.2140
2019	324951	Lebo	Coffey	KS	
2019	325961	Belhaven	Beaufort	NC	
2019	327921	Arthur	Ida	IA	
2019	327936	Princeville	Peoria	IL	
2019	329855	Oxford	Sumner	KS	
2019	321136	Sikeston	Scott	МО	36.8178
2019	322396	Peru	LA Salle	IL	41.428
2019	324600	Kinsman	Grundy	IL	41.1156
2019	324944	Lebo	Coffey	KS	

-88.61	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Engenia
-89.69	Off-Target	240	60	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-88.60	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	20	5	Soybeans	Engenia
-91.30	Off-Target	154	16	Soybeans	Engenia
-88.9014	Off-Target	40	40	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	80	5	Soybeans	Engenia
-97.697666	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Engenia
-77.5465	Off-Target	16	16	Soybeans	Engenia
77.0103	Off-Target	1,100	600	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	360	125	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	100	100	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	500	500	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-89.6133	Off-Target	40	40	Cotton	Unknown Auxin
-89.1396	Off-Target	90	90	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-88.5477	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	800	600	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin

Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No

Leaf cupping,Other,	Entire field has some cupping, but more one the west side adjacent to Engenia applied field. New growth is showing healthy leaves.,	70	0
Leaf cupping,Other,Stunting,	Plants stunted and leaves cupped along drift path. Pods were developed and soybeans present.,	70	360
Leaf cupping,Node Stacking,Stunting,		60	
Leaf cupping,Other,	Cupping more on east side just west of Engenia applied. Plants showing new growth with healthy leaves.,	60	0
Leaf cupping,		50	50
Leaf cupping,		8	0
Leaf cupping,Other,	Cupping more on extreme north edge of field 10 rows then lesser cupping over field.,	0	0
Leaf cupping,			
Leaf cupping,			
Leaf cupping, Stunting,			
Leaf cupping, Stunting, Growing point inhibition,			
Leaf cupping,			
Leaf cupping,			
Leaf cupping, Other, Stunting, Node Stacking, Growing point inhibition,	growing point inhibition on edge of field; slightly gradient but all damaged some,		
Leaf cupping,	admagaa sama,		
Leaf cupping, Other,	Light cupping throughout field. Grower also has 3500acres of Dicamba cotton planted,		
Leaf cupping, Other, Node Stacking, Stunting,	Very uniform leaf cupping exhibited across entire 90 acres. Several drowned out areas were planted later in succession as the ground dried out. These smaller plants exhibited more stress signs.,		
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Node Stacking,			
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Node Stacking,			

6	NE	No	Unusual Rainfall	
3-5	E			
4	NW	No	Unusual Rainfall	
5-9	SE	Yes	N/A	
9				
6-8	SW	No	Other	Normal
		***************************************		
8-10	N	Yes		
			Other	None

		,			
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
Yes				No	No
				No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Grower Applied	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	Yes
Yes	No	No		No	No
				No	Yes
***************************************		***************************************		No	No
				No	No
				No	No
				No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
				No	No
				No	No
				No	No
Yes				No	No
				No	No
				No	No

No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	Yes	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No

Yes	Unable to determine based on information provided,Other,
Yes	Unable to determine based on information provided,Other,
Yes	Other,
Yes	Other,
No	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
Yes	Unable to determine based on information provided,Other,
No	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,
Yes	Other,
No	Contamination (tank, system, etc.),
No	
No	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,
Yes	
Yes	Other,
No	
No	Unable to determine based on information provided,
Yes	Other,
Yes	Other,
No	

Dicamba applied to numerous corn and soybeans in area. Very dry in area since Engenia application. Soybeans showing water stress.	30
Both parties present. He would make it right if there was a yield loss, by providing the loss in a truck load of soybeans if necessary.,	40
walked claim.,	
Dicamba applied to numerous fields in this area.  Very day in area since cupping appeared slowing crop growth.  Applicator was Austin Hendrin, Brandt employee,	28
	20
This was the second application of Engenia. First application was on June 19,	
None,	***************************************
None,	
Field was scouted twice. Thoughts are this may be from adjoining corn fields but is unknown at this time as to what was sprayed and when.	
Atmosphere/area wide Dicamba movement possibly explains field wide symptomology of cupping leaf & stunted plants. Sheets were attached with pre and post products used on this Liberty bean field. Affected areas were Liberty beans never directly sprayed with Dicamba.	
This 80 acre 8" row bean field laid north south with more visible symptoms on the north end of the field nearest the DT bean field. Observed dicamba leaf cupping symptoms of varying degree but some symptoms thru out. Most severe were in the northern 1/3 of the field. New growth continued to come as well as blossoms and new pods.Will revisit in 3 weeks.,	

			·		·	
10		24		TTI11005		10
12	15	12				20
10		24		TTI11005		10
6	15			TTI11004		20
12	20	24		UR110-10		15
12	15	24		Other	TTI11006	15
8	17	30		TTI11005		15
	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	h	***************************************	

P	<b>,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
2019	325227	Brownfield	Terry	TX	
2019	329966	Wenona	Marshall	IL	
2019	321141	Blytheville	Mississippi	AR	89.5526
2019	324583	Belle Plaine	Scott	MN	
2019	324895	Petersburg	Menard	IL	40.097
2019	322172	Shelby	Polk	NE	
2019	324602	Morris	Grundy	IL	41.2356
2019	325604	Geneva	Kane	IL	
2019	326788	Unionville	Tuscola	MI	
2019	326859	Hooper	Dodge	NE	
2019	330825	Metamora	Woodford	IL	
2019	321132	Sikeston	Scott	МО	36.8564
2019	329972	Ohio	Bureau	IL	
2019	325581	Pecatonica	Winnebago	IL	42.38

	Off-Target	30	30	Grape, Seed	Unknown Auxin
<del></del>	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Engenia
-89.8613	Off-Target	1,000	1000	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	80	20	Soybeans	Engenia
-89.859	Off-Target	80	2	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Engenia
-88.4899	Off-Target	102	102	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	120	120	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	40	20	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	320	280	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	75	35	Soybeans	Engenia
-89.6045	Off-Target	180	180	Cotton	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	75	70	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-89.35	Off-Target	13	4	Soybeans	Engenia

<b></b>	·	·		Y
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes

Leaf cupping,Other,Growing point inhibition,	New leaf growth okay. Investigating to see if we can determine whether 2-4 D or dicamba caused damage.,	
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf cupping,Other,	Uniform symptomology across all fields inspected. Inspected 6 fields representative of 1000 acres. 1st-2nd trifoliates showing symptoms,	
Leaf cupping,Growing point inhibition,		
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Node Stacking,		
Leaf cupping,Node Stacking,Stunting,		
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf cupping,Node Stacking,Stunting,		
Leaf cupping,Node Stacking,Other,Stunting,	This was a drift complaint. Field exhibited signs of dicamba symptoms.,	
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Other,	Soybean appeared to have dicamba symptomology. Only dicamba identified by having been sprayed in the area was adjacent corn field sprayed with Status on June 18.  Suspicious gap of 2 months prior to symptomology.,	
Leaf cupping,Other,Node Stacking,Stunting,	The field had a definite gradient pattern to the symptoms from original first pass of spray & decreasing as the operator moved over the field. Maybe 1/3 of this field had dicamba symptomology.  Symptoms were relatively light.,	

	S	Yes	
4-5	S	***************************************	
T-3	,		
4-6			

			·	·	
No	No	No		Yes	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
. 55	. 99			No	No
				No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No
		***************************************		No	No
				No	No
				No	No
				No	Yes
				No	No
				No	No
				No	No
				No	No
				No	No

				y
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
110	140	110	110	140
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	Yes	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No
No	Yes	No	No	No

information provided, Other,	
Yes   Information provided/outlet/	
Yes Other,	
Unable to determine based on infor	mation
yes provided,Other,	
No Unable to determine based on information	n provided,
Contamination (tank, system, etc.),	Other,
Yes	
Yes Other,	
Other,	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes Wind blowing towards sensitive crop	,Other,
No Unable to determine based on information	n provided,
Yes Other,	
Yes Unable to determine based on information provided, Other,	mation
Other,	
Yes	
1.00	
Contamination (tank, system, etc.),	Other,
	,
Yes	

	T
It appears that both dicamba & 2-4 D intrusion may have occurred.	
Applicator and Dealer are unknown. Planting date was 1985 for the grapes.,	
Unexplained auxin herbicide,	
Source of unknown auxin herbicide unable to be determined.,	
Appears heavy application when applicator sprayed north edge when starting up. The affected field	
which resulted in symptoms on north edge. It was alleged that applicator caused the cupping which	
I could not find evidence of. Retailer would not give name of applicator since they felt the cupping	
did not come from their application.	
This is a call log. Applicator was not filled in.,	-
102 acre bean field split diagonally with a large waterway. Observed dicamba leaf cupping	
symptoms of varying degree but some symptoms thru out. Most severe were in the western half of	
the filed north of the waterway. New growth continued to come as well as blossoms and new pods.	
Filed is Liberty Link Beans.	
Unknown applicator and dealer,	
This field is located across from applicator's. Field did receive some potential drift which may have	
been from one of the area's dicamba fields. Nozzles used were not on the approved nozzle list of	
BASF. Affected beans looked to be growing out of the symptoms,	
Leaves cupped throughout entire field. Worse in Southwest corner. Grower also has 3500 acres of	
Dicamba cotton.,	
The field of affected beans had significant cupping but are now appearing to have grown out of it.	
The idea that the Status affected the beans from a spray that took place almost 60 days prior is a	
bit of a stretch.,	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Symptoms happened due to contamination from the nurse truck. Plans are to look at this field at	
the end of the month and assess again with grower.,	
and the month and assess again with grower,	

***************************************	 ***************************************				***************************************
			Other	TTI11006	
	 ***************************************	••••••••••••••••••••••••			

2019	325620	Pantego	Beaufort	NC	35.68
2019	333245	Solon	Johnson	IA	
2019	322327	Marshalltown	Marshall	IA	
2019	324948	Lebo	Coffey	KS	
2019	324949	Lebo	Coffey	KS	
2019	326464	Pecatonica	Winnebago	IL	42.25
2019	324952	Lebo	Coffey	KS	
2019	329851	Fredonia	Wilson	KS	
2019	331366	Bloomfield	Nelson	KY	37.91
2019	331581	Geneseo	Henry	IL	

-76.66	Off-Target	62	20	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	52	52	Soybeans	Engenia
	Off-Target	1	1	Vegetables	Engenia
	Off-Target	1,200	800	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	210	114	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-89.19	Off-Target	30	15	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	800	200	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
	Off-Target	120	120	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin
-85.30	Off-Target	17	17	Tobacco	Engenia
	Off-Target	80	80	Soybeans	Unknown Auxin

Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Leaf cupping,Other,	Leaf cupping and strapping in new growth. Terminals and growing points healthy. Some pods very curved. This is a research farm with many trials,	
Leaf cupping,Other,	Symptoms were uniform across the field (3 fields). There were a few patches of dicamba beans planted in these field. These patches of dicamba beans did not have the leaf cupping.,	120
Leaf cupping,Stunting,		
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Growing point inhibition,		
Leaf cupping,Growing point inhibition,Stunting,		
Leaf cupping,Other,Node Stacking,Stunting,	This field had a definite gradient pattern to the symptoms from the original first pass of spray and decreasing as it cleared the booms.  Small acreage affected.,	
Leaf cupping,Stunting,Node Stacking,		
Leaf cupping,		
Leaf	5 acres shows severe damage while	
cupping,Other,Stunting,Growing point inhibition,	other fields show very little damage,	
Leaf cupping,Growing point inhibition,		

7-8	SE			
9	NE	Yes		
2.4	CIA	V	<b>T</b>	
3-4	SW	Yes	Temparature Inversion	

No	No	No	No	No
Yes		Yes	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
			No	No
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
			No	No

No	No	No	No	No		
No	Yes	No	No	No		
No	No	No	No No			
No	No	No	No	No		
No	No	No	No	No		
No	Yes	No	No	No		
No	No	No	No	No		
No	No	No	No	No		
No	No	No	No	No		
No	Yes	No	No	No		

	Other,
	ound,
Yes	
	Contamination (tank, system, etc.),
No	
,	
V	Other,
Yes	·
No	
No	
	Contamination (tank, system, etc.),Other,
Yes	
No	
No	
No	Wind blowing towards consitive over Other
Yes	Wind blowing towards sensitive crop,Other,
No	Contamination (tank, system, etc.),

Claim had cotton and soybeans as crop.				
In some cases,				
	4.0			
	40			
Only towards plants were affected. Any other broadless was not affected. Not Discuss a damage from				
Only tomato plants were affected. Any other broadleaf was not affected. Not Dicamba damage from	40			
retailer. I believe farmer had contamination in his own small sprayer.,				
Symptoms were relatively light. Plans are to look at this field at the end of the month and assess				
again with grower.,				
again man groveriy				
Insufficient buffer. Six small fields with two fields showing damage. Purchasing company will not				
purchase crop. Turned over to Farm Bureau Insurance. No dealer was given.,	40			

10	15	32	TTI11004		15
12	23	28	Other	DR110-10	15
8	15	30	UR110-08		20